

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,191	06/25/2003		Bradley R. Wolf	633032-003	2239
27805	7590	11/23/2005	•	EXAMINER	
THOMPSO		L.L.P. PLAZA , N.E.		JACKSON	I, GARY
10 WEST S		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON,			3731		

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assis a Community	10/606,191	WOLF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary Jackson	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the office of the option	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to applicants' amendment filed September 9, 2005.

The amendment has been considered, however, it does not place the application in condition for allowance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brotz (US Patent 6,244,675) and Buncke (US Patent 5,931,855) in view of Buchman et al (US Patent 6,686,437). The patent to Brotz and Buncke teaches suturing tissue through the dermis and subcutaneous fat layers. Further, such suturing technique is well known in the art. The Patent to Buchman teaches suturing with gold threads (sutures) is extremely old in the suturing art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to suture subcutaneous layers with gold sutures. The favorable properties of gold in relation to the human body are well known in the art. The patent to Menaker further elaborate on gold favorable characteristics.

Buncke teaches repeating suturing to secure a wound close. It further would have been obvious to utilize a gold thread to suture the wound in view if Buchman et al and Menaker teachings.

Concerning claim 8, since gold is readily used as a radiopaque marker, it would have been obvious to provide a bioabsorbable suture in combination with gold. Also,

Art Unit: 3731

braided, sutures are well known in the art. It would have been to suture the laceration of Boebel et al with a braided and partially bioabsorbable suture.

In regards to claims 9-17, claim 1 is a directed a method claim; claims 9-17 are directed to the suture per se that does not affect the method steps. Applicant has not shown any criticality to the various claimed sutures. It would have been within the purview to utilize many variations of the gold suture to close the laceration of Boebel et al.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. French Publication 2,747,908 to Chout discloses a gold suture needle combination. US Patents 4,946,467 and 6,197,043 to Ohi et al and Davidson respectively disclose a suture having a core different from the outer portion.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/606,191

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4697. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30 am to 6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Jackson Primary Examiner

gj November 15, 2005 Art Unit 3731